

Some remarks about the transition gluon radiation

I.M. Dremin

Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow 119991, Russia

Abstract

The electrodynamical formulae for the transition radiation are applied to the case of partons crossing the surface of the deconfined region in heavy ion collisions. The chromopermittivity is used in place of the dielectric permittivity. The corresponding energy-angular distributions of emitted gluons are discussed. They could be of interest at LHC energies.

In 1945 V.L. Ginzburg and I.M. Frank proposed the idea about the transition radiation [1]. Any electric charge passing from some medium to another one or moving with (almost) constant velocity in inhomogeneous medium with variable dielectric permittivity induces its polarization and radiation of photons. This property has been used, e.g., to build the transition radiation tracker in ATLAS detector at the LHC. The transition radiation is determined by restructuring of the electromagnetic field surrounding the electric charge.

During hadron (nucleus) collisions the partons intersect the surface of another partner. This transition should induce abrupt changes of their color fields and, consequently, radiation of gluons analogously to electrodynamical situation. The classical in-medium QCD equations coincide in general features with electrodynamical equations [2] with replacement of the dielectric permittivity by the chromopermittivity. Since this approach happens to be successful with Cherenkov gluons [3] and wakes [4, 5, 6] it is tempting to apply the Ginzburg-Frank formula to estimate the distribution of "transition" gluons emitted per unit length within some frequency and angular intervals as

$$\frac{dN}{d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha_S \sqrt{\epsilon_a} \sin^2 2\theta}{\pi\omega} \times \left| \frac{(\epsilon_b - \epsilon_a)(1 - \epsilon_a + \sqrt{\epsilon_b - \epsilon_a \sin^2 \theta})}{(1 - \epsilon_a \cos^2 \theta)(1 + \sqrt{\epsilon_b - \epsilon_a \sin^2 \theta})(\epsilon_b \cos \theta + \sqrt{\epsilon_a \epsilon_b - \epsilon_a^2 \sin^2 \theta})} \right|^2. \quad (1)$$

$\epsilon_{a,b}$ are the chromopermittivities of the media a, b as felt by relativistic ($v \approx c$) partons traversing the surface when passing from b to a . Casimir factors are omitted.

There is important difference between Cherenkov and transition radiations. Cherenkov radiation can be observed only if the particle moves in the medium with $\epsilon > 1$. Its intensity is proportional to $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon - 1$. Transition radiation is proportional to $(\epsilon_b - \epsilon_a)^2$ (see (1)) and appears at any change of ϵ . In electrodynamics it is studied mostly in the frequency interval where the formula

$$\text{Re}\epsilon_{ED} = 1 - \frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega^2} \quad (2)$$

is applicable i.e. where $\Delta\epsilon < 0$. Here ω_L is the Langmuir (plasma) frequency. Namely this behavior of the dielectric permittivity is responsible for specific features of the transition radiation.

In the case of the nuclear medium it is unknown whether the analogous formula may be used in any energy region. For narrow energy intervals of secondary particles (as those studied at RHIC) it is reasonable to assume $\Delta\epsilon$ to be constant [3]. At very high energies the dependence

$$\text{Re}\epsilon = (1 + \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2})\Theta(\omega - \omega_{th}) \quad (3)$$

may be used. As explained in [7, 8], it is inspired by the behavior of the real parts of the forward scattering amplitudes where they become positive for all hadrons at $\omega > \omega_{th}$.

Both these assumptions find some support in RHIC [3] and cosmic ray data [9, 10, 11]. That is why we consider first these two possibilities.

The away-side parton at RHIC is created inside the quark-gluon medium, moves through it and escapes in the vacuum being hadronized. It induces collective excitation of the quark-gluon medium leading to the double-humped events (early experimental results see in [12, 13]). According to fits citedklv of experimental data $\epsilon_b \approx 6 \gg \epsilon_a = 1$. Using (1) for estimates of possible transition gluon radiation one gets the Bremsstrahlung-like spectrum with both infrared and collinear divergencies in asymptotics

$$dN \propto \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{d\theta}{\theta}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, nothing spectacular happens. As usually, at finite energies the angular divergence is replaced by a maximum at $\theta \approx \gamma^{-1}$. Anyway, this radiation is

collimated near the initial direction of the away-side parton and is hard to detect. The similar conclusions are obtained [14] for the radiation by a gluon moving in a stochastic medium. It is interesting to note that for $\epsilon_b \gg \epsilon_a$ the intensity of the transition radiation (4) does not depend on ϵ_b .

For the forward moving partons with extremely high energies $\omega > \omega_{th}$ the situation is different. They might feel the slight excess of the chromopermittivity over 1 according to (3). Its specific ω -dependence leads to the spectacular energy distribution

$$dN \propto \frac{d\omega}{\omega^5} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Theta(\omega - \omega_{th}). \quad (5)$$

The collinear divergence is the same but the energy spectrum has a strong peak near ω_{th} . It implies that the resonance-like almost monochromatic subjects with masses determined by ω_{th} will be produced at LHC energies. These "resonances" are mostly created by gluons (especially at LHC) and, therefore, are neutral. The most favored channel would be to observe them as peaks in mass spectra of forward moving $\mu^+\mu^-$ -pairs near ω_{th} . The model cutoff (5) at ω_{th} is replaced in real data about hadronic amplitudes by some threshold behavior [11]. Unfortunately, there exists no clear prescription how to translate the threshold energy in hadronic reactions to gluons with account of hadronization effects. If observed, peaks locations and their disappearance at $\omega = \omega_{th}$ due to the threshold would tell us about that.

One may hope that the confinement and hadronization do not spoil these conclusions. This is supported by observation of effects due to Cherenkov gluons and the wake albeit the transition radiation might be stronger influenced by confinement near the QGP surface than the collective excitations inside the volume responsible for Cherenkov gluons and wake radiation. From one side, it shortens the radiation length but, from another side, the abrupt variation of color fields surrounding partons when they come out of the quark-gluon plasma and hadronize may enlarge the transition radiation because of strong confining forces. At the same time the transition radiation may appear in much wider energy intervals than Cherenkov radiation (in particular, at $\omega < \omega_{th}$). However, neither experimental nor theoretical arguments about the chromopermittivity in these regions exist nowadays. It would not be surprising if we find its effects outside the regions where the double-humped events were observed. That may somewhat smooth down the structures near ω_{th} .

With all above precautions, I present these remarks to arXiv with hope

to initiate the discussion of these very preliminary ideas if any signatures will be found at LHC energies.

This work was supported by RFBR grants 09-02-00741; 08-02-91000-CERN and by the RAN-CERN program.

References

- [1] Ginzburg V L, Frank I M *J. Phys. USSR* **9** 353 (1945); *ZhETF* **16** 15 (1946)
- [2] Dremin I M *Eur. Phys. J. C* **56** 81 (2008)
- [3] Dremin I M, Kirakosyan M R, Leonidov A V, Vinogradov A V *Nucl. Phys. A* **826** 190 (2009)
- [4] Holzmann W G arXiv:0907.4833 [nucl-ex].
- [5] Ryazanov M I *Elektrodynamika kondensirovannyh sred* (M. Nauka, 1984), pp. 210-215.
- [6] Dremin I M *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25** ? (2010); arXiv:0911.3233
- [7] Dremin I M, *Nucl. Phys. A* **767** 233 (2006).
- [8] Dremin I M *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* to be published; arXiv:0910.0099
- [9] Apanasenko A V et al. *JETP Lett.* **30** 145 (1979)
- [10] Dremin I M *JETP Lett.* **30** 140 (1979)
- [11] Dremin I M *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **33** 726 (1981)
- [12] Wang F (STAR Collab.) *J. Phys. G* **30** S1299 (2004)
- [13] Adler S S et al. (PHENIX Collab.) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 052301 (2006)
- [14] Kirakosyan M R, Leonidov A V (to be published)